UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/765,758	01/26/2004	Makoto Yoshino	4041K-000169	1893
	7590 02/26/200 CKEY & PIERCE, P.L	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 828		KEE, FANNIE C		
BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3679	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/26/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/765,758	YOSHINO ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		FANNIE KEE	3679			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
Period for	, ,					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
	Pagagaive to communication(a) filed on 06 /	November 2007				
'=	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 l</u>					
′=	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
	blosed in accordance with the practice under	Lx parte Quayle, 1905 C.D. 11,	433 O.G. 213.			
Dispositio	n of Claims					
4)🛛 (4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7-11 and 43-46</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) 9 and 43-46 is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) 🗌 (5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)🛛 (
7) 🗌 (Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) 🗌 (Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.				
Application Papers						
9)□ ⊤	he specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
•		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
The dath of declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached office Action of form F 10-152.						
Priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
* 0-	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 20070723.						
		·				

Art Unit: 3679

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Newly submitted claims 43-46 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct

from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons:

a. Claims 43-45 are directed to a different embodiment where the joint member

includes a cylindrical male portion or a cylindrical female portion. The elected invention

does not have either a cylindrical male or a cylindrical female portion.

b. Claim 46 is directed to a different embodiment where the inner and outer pipes

have support members. The elected invention does not use support members (see Figure

10).

2. Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented

invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution

on the merits. Accordingly, claims 43-46 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to

a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Art Unit: 3679

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

4. Claims 7, 8, 10, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Claim 7 recites "the inner and outer pipe are formed differently from each other and are joined to a joint member at respective end portions, where *two or more of the end portions* of the inner and the outer pipe and the joint member define plastically deforming means for joining the joint member to the inner and outer pipes". The drawings, as seen in Figures 9-12, only show that one end portion of the inner pipe and one end portion of the outer pipe are joined to the joint member. Therefore, there cannot be more than two end portions. The specification does not speak to the elected embodiment having more than two end portions which are joined to the joint member. Therefore, the claim contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Art Unit: 3679

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

6. Claims 7, 8, 10, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being

indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which

applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 7 recites "wherein two or more of the end portions of the inner and outer pipe and the joint member define plastically deforming means for joining the joint member to the inner and outer pipes." It is unclear what Applicant means by "two or more of the end portions of the inner and outer pipe and the joint member" as Applicant had previously defined that "the inner and the outer pipe ... are joined to a joint member at respective end portions." It is not inherent that the inner or the outer pipe would have more than one end portion where they are joined to the outer pipe, therefore, how can there be "two or more" end portions? Is Applicant trying to say that the inner and outer pipes are completely encased such that each of their ends meets the joint member? This does not appear to be the case, however, as the Applicant's disclosure does not appear to show this. Thus, Examiner is interpreting this to mean that there are only two end

portions, one where the inner pipe meets the joint member, and one where the outer pipe meets

the joint member. The claim must be amended in accordance with this interpretation.

Art Unit: 3679

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on

sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

8. Claims 7, 8, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Basham

U.S. Patent No. 3,980,112.

With regard to claim 7, and as seen in Figure 9, Basham discloses a double pipe structure

in which an inner pipe (36, 78A) for circulating fluid of high pressure is disposed in an outer

pipe (38) for circulating fluid of low pressure and the inner and the outer pipe are formed

differently from each other and are joined to a joint member (40A, 57A, 70A) at respective end

portions, wherein two or more of the end portions of the inner and the outer pipe and the joint

member define plastically deforming means for joining the joint member to the inner and outer

pipes.

With regard to claim 8, and as seen in Figure 9, Basham discloses the joint member

including a port (96A) connected to another pipe (93A), the plastically deforming means joining

the inner pipe to the joint member by expanding an end portion of the inner pipe, and the outer

pipe being joined to the joint member by contracting an end portion of the outer pipe.

Art Unit: 3679

With regard to claim 10, and as seen in Figure 9, Basham discloses a seal member (79A) being interposed in a joint portion of the joint member and the inner pipe or in a joint portion of

the joint member and the outer pipe.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the

manner in which the invention was made.

10. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Basham in view

of Inaba U.S. Patent No. 4,732,414.

Basham discloses a double pipe structure with an inner pipe, an outer pipe and a joint

member but does not disclose that the rigidity of the inner pipe is lower than the rigidity of the

outer pipe. Inaba teaches that it would be obvious to have a double pipe structure where the

inner and outer pipes would have different rigidities especially in the case where a combustible

or poisonous gas is being carried.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified

the double pipe structure of Basham with the teaching of Inaba to create a double pipe structure

Art Unit: 3679

that would be capable of carrying dangerous fluids and preventing any leaks to the outside atmosphere as suggested by Inaba (column 1, lines 24-31).

Response to Arguments

11. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 7, 8, 10, and 11 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

12. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3679

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Fannie C. Kee whose telephone number is (571) 272-1820. The

examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Daniel P. Stodola can be reached on (571) 272-7087. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Aaron M Dunwoody/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3679

/F. K./

Examiner, Art Unit 3679